

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY A. HILL, :  
: Case No. 1:25-cv-54  
*Plaintiff*, : Judge Jeffery P. Hopkins  
vs. :  
JUDGE ALLEN C. TRIGGS, *et al.*, :  
*Defendants*. :  
:

---

---

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

---


This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation issued by Chief Magistrate Judge Stephanie K. Bowman on March 17, 2025. Doc. 4. Having performed an initial screen of Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Magistrate Judge recommends that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b). The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Complaint and the Report and Recommendation. No objections have been filed and the time for filing such objections under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) has expired.

Having carefully reviewed the comprehensive findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge and finding no clear error, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 (advisory committee notes from 1983 amendment) ("When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation"); *see e.g.*, *Roane v. Warden of Corr. Reception Ctr.*, No. 2:22-cv-2768, 2022 WL 16535903, at \*1 (S.D. Ohio Oct. 28, 2022). Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Complaint be **DISMISSED** with

prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b). For the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), the Court certifies that an appeal of this Order would lack an arguable basis in law or in fact and thus would not be taken in good faith. The Court accordingly **DENIES** Plaintiff leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 6, 2025

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Jeffery P. Hopkins  
United States District Judge